arXiv:1411.0438v2 [math.RA] 4 Nov 2014

Some examples on automorphisms of structural matrix algebras

Emira AKKURT and Mustafa Akkurt

Department of Mathematics, Gebze Institute of Technology, Kocaeli, Turkey

Abstract: Automorphisms of structural matrix algebras in block upper triangular form has been studied recently in [2], and this work is a follow-up paper of that study. The aim of this paper is to explain the topic in a much clearer and more comprehensible way by presenting some examples.

Keywords: structural matrix algebras, block upper triangular matrix, automorphisms.

MSC: 15A30, 16S50, 08A35.

1 Introduction and notation

The topic of structural matrix algebras and automorphisms have been studied in many papers, especially in [1, 2, 3, 6]. The recent study [2] gives an answer to the open question given in [4] in 1993. For upper triangular matrices we know that an automorphism is a composition of an inner automorphism with a permutation similarity (see [4, 5]). Since structural matrix algebra is isomorphic with a block upper triangular matrix algebra it is shown in [2] that any automorphism is a composition of an inner automorphism, a permutation similarity and an automorphism generated by a transitive function g on ρ using block upper triangular form of structural matrix algebra. Here we would like to give some application problems to express the main results of [2] in a numerical way. This study also can be compared by [6]. As we mention in [2], we use the block triangular form while Coelho uses graph theory in her paper. Therefore we would like to use also same relations ρ in her two examples in [6] to show getting same results by using our approach and technique.

Let $M_n(F)$ be the algebra of all $n \times n$ matrices over a field F and let $(\{1, \ldots, n\}, \rho)$ be a quasi-ordered set (i.e. ρ is reflexive and transitive relation on the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$). The set

$$M_n(F, \rho) = \{A \in M_n(F) : a_{ij} = 0 \text{ whenever } (i, j) \notin \rho\}$$

is subalgebra of $M_n(F)$ and we call $M_n(F, \rho)$ the algebra of $n \times n$ structural matrices over F (with identity I).

Before working on examples, we would like to remark briefly to the construction of block upper triangular form of structural matrix algebras. We also need to remind some important results and main theorems given in [2]. $M_n = M_n(F, \rho)$ which we take to be in block upper triangular form that is constructed in [2] and an automorphism $\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(M_n)$. Let $F^* = F \setminus \{0\}$.

Definition 1. A function $g : \rho \to F^*$ is transitive if and only if

$$g(i,j)\, g(j,k) = g(i,k)$$

for all $(i,j), (j,k) \in \rho$.

Every transitive function $g : \rho \to F^*$ determines an automorphism $G \in \mathrm{Aut}(M_n(F,\rho))$ by defining

$$G\left(E^{ij}\right) = g(i,j)\, E^{ij}, (i,j) \in \rho,$$

where as we recall E^{ij} is the $n \times n$ matrix with a 1 in position (i,j) and zeros elsewhere.

2 Construction of block upper triangular form of structural matrix algebras

For a structural matrix algebra $M_n(F,\rho)$ we define an equivalence relation $\overline{\rho}$ by

$$(i,j) \in \overline{\rho} \text{ if and only if } (i,j), (j,i) \in \rho.$$

Let $[r_1], [r_2], \ldots, [r_p]$ denote the distinct equivalence classes of $\overline{\rho}$ with representatives $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_p$. And we construct a permutation π in [2] as follows. For

$$[r_1] = \{r_1 = r_{11}, r_{12}, \ldots, r_{1m_1}\}$$

let

$$\pi(1) = \pi(r_{11}) = 1, \pi(r_{12}) = 2, \ldots, \pi(r_{1m_1}) = m_1$$

and in general if

$$[r_k] = \{r_{k1}, r_{k2}, \ldots, r_{km_k}\}$$

then

$$\pi(r_{kj}) = m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_{k-1} + j.$$

If we apply this permutation similarity to $M_n(F,\rho)$ we have the following relation ρ' where

$$(i,j) \in \rho' \iff \left(\pi^{-1}(i), \pi^{-1}(j)\right) \in \rho$$

and $M_n(F,\rho')$ consists of all matrices of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} M_{m_1}(F) & M_{m_1 \times m_2}(R) & \cdots & M_{m_1 \times m_p}(R) \\ 0 & M_{m_2}(F) & \cdots & M_{m_2 \times m_p}(R) \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & M_{m_p}(F) \end{bmatrix},$$

where R is either F or 0.

And we define

$$[i]_{\rho'} \leq [j]_{\rho'} \tag{1}$$

to mean $(i,j) \in \rho'$. Then $\forall p_1 \in [i], p_2 \in [j]$,

$$(p_1, p_2) \in \rho' \text{ if and only if } (i,j) \in \rho'.$$

Next, let $[t_1], [t_2], \ldots, [t_q]$ be the classes which are incomparable with any other class, i.e., for any t_k, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, q$, for any $r_j \neq t_k$ we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} [r_j] & \not\leqslant & [t_k] \quad (\text{that is } (r_j, t_k) \notin \rho') \text{ and} \\ [t_k] & \not\leqslant & [r_j] \quad (\text{that is } (t_k, r_j) \notin \rho'). \end{array}$$

Then we performed a permutation similarity of the block triangular matrix algebras which makes the diagonal blocks indexed by the indices of $[t_1], [t_2], \ldots, [t_q]$ the last q diagonal blocks. Denote the corresponding permutation by π_1 and the quasi-order relation by σ. Further the last q σ-classes, which we denote by $[t_1]_\sigma, [t_2]_\sigma, \ldots, [t_q]_\sigma$, are such that no one of these classes is comparable to any other σ-class (with $\leq$ defined by (1) and ρ' replaced by σ). This means that $R = 0$ for all the $M_{m_j \times m_k}(R)$ with $k = p - q + 1, \ldots, p$.

To summarize this, the classes comparable to some other class are $[r_j]$ $(j = 1, \ldots, l)$ while the classes comparable to no other class are $[t_k]$ $(k = 1, \ldots, q)$ each of cardinality m_l and m_q respectively. Also $l + q = p$. Hence we have our diagonal form

$$\begin{bmatrix} M_{m_1}(F) & M_{m_1 \times m_2}(R) & \cdots & M_{m_1 \times m_l}(R) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & M_{m_2}(F) & \cdots & M_{m_2 \times m_l}(R) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & M_{m_l}(F) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & M_{m_{l+1}}(F) & & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & M_{m_p}(F) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that the way we have chosen the r_j and t_k in the construction of π_1 we have that

(i) each r_j and each t_k is minimal in its class,

(ii) $r_1 < \ldots < r_l$ and without loss of generality we can have $t_1 < \ldots < t_q$.

Now, if we consider a block $M_{m_a \times m_b}(R)$ with $a \leq l$ and $b \leq l$, then the row and the column indices are π_1 classes, say $[r_a]$ and $[r_b]$ respectively with $a < b$. If $[r_a] \leq [r_b]$ we have $R = F$; otherwise $R = 0$.

For notational simplicity, we may write M_i or M_{ij} for M_{m_i} or $M_{m_i \times m_j}$ respectively. The subset of $M_n(F, \rho)$ which has elements of M_{ij} (or M_i) in the i^{th} block row and j^{th} block column (or i^{th} block diagonal) and zero elsewhere will be denoted by $\overline{M}_{ij}$. Analogously we let A_{ij} denote an $m_i \times m_j$ matrix in M_{ij} while $\overline{A}_{ij}$ is the corresponding element of $\overline{M}_{ij}$. If $i = j$ we write M_i, A_i, $\overline{M}_i$, and $\overline{A}_i$, for the corresponding sets and matrices.

Theorem 2.1. *[2]If $M_n(F,\rho)$ is semisimple and if $\Phi \in Aut(M_n)$, then we can write*

$$\Phi = \Psi \circ P_\tau,$$

where Ψ is an inner automorphism, and P_τ is a permutation similarity which is in $Aut(M_n)$.

Theorem 2.2. *[2](Factorization Theorem) If $\Phi \in Aut(M_n)$ then we can write*

$$\Phi = \Psi_A \circ G \circ P_\tau,$$

where Ψ_A is an inner automorphism induced by A, G is an automorphism defined by transitive function g on ρ and P_τ is a permutation similarity which is in $Aut(M_n)$.

3 Examples

Example 1. Let $N = \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ and

$\rho = \{(1,1),(1,5),(1,6),(2,2),(2,3),(3,2),(3,3),(4,4),(5,1),(5,5),(5,6),(6,1),(6,5),(6,6)\}$

$\overline{\rho} = \rho$

then the structural matrix algebra is

$$M_6(F,\rho) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} F & 0 & 0 & 0 & F & F \\ 0 & F & F & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F & F & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & F & 0 & 0 \\ F & 0 & 0 & 0 & F & F \\ F & 0 & 0 & 0 & F & F \end{bmatrix} : F \text{ is a field} \right\}.$$

We can construct equivalence classes and define permutation π as follows,
$[r_1] = \{r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}\}$, $[r_2] = \{r_{21}, r_{22}\}, [r_3] = \{r_{31}\}$,
where $r_1 = 1, r_{12} = 5, r_{13} = 6$, $r_{21} = 2, r_{22} = 3, r_{31} = 4$
$[1] = \{r_1 = 1, r_{12} = 5, r_{13} = 6\}, [2] = \{r_{21} = 2, r_{22} = 3\}, [4] = \{r_{31} = 4\}$.
$\pi(1) = 1, \pi(5) = 2, \pi(6) = 3, \pi(2) = 4, \pi(3) = 5, \pi(4) = 6$,
i.e. $\pi = (24635) \Rightarrow \pi^{-1} = (25364)$
Using $(i,j) \in \rho' \iff \left(\pi^{-1}(i), \pi^{-1}(j)\right) \in \rho$,
So we have
$\rho' = \{(1,1),(1,2),(1,3),(2,1),(2,2),(2,3),(3,1),(3,2),(3,3),(4,4),(4,5),(5,4),(5,5),(6,6)\}$ and the structural matrix algebra related to ρ' is

$$M_6(F,\rho') = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} F & F & F & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ F & F & F & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ F & F & F & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & F & F & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & F & F & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & F \end{bmatrix} : F \text{ is a field} \right\}.$$

Then the structural matrix algebra $M_6(F,\rho)$ is permutation similarity to the structural matrix algebra $M_6(F,\rho')$.

Since $M_6(F,\rho')$ in block diagonal form and hence semisimple, then we have $\overline{M}_1, \overline{M}_2$, and $\overline{M}_3$ are ideals which are simple and we can follow the proof of Theorem 1 in [2] and write

$$M_6(F,\rho') = \overline{M}_1 \oplus \overline{M}_2 \oplus \overline{M}_3, \text{ where}$$

$$\overline{M}_1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} F & F & F & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ F & F & F & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ F & F & F & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : F \text{ is a field} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : A_3 \in M_3(F) \right\},$$

$$\overline{M}_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & F & F & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & F & F & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : F \text{ is a field} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : A_2 \in M_2(F) \right\},$$

$$\overline{M}_3 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & F \end{bmatrix} : F \text{ is a field} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_1 \end{bmatrix} : A_1 \in F \right\}.$$

Since, A_1, A_2, and A_3 are of different size we have

$$\Phi\left(\overline{M}_i\right) = \overline{M}_{f(i)} = \overline{M}_i.$$

i.e. f is identity bijection and extent f to a permutation π_1 on $\{1,\ldots,6\}$ by way of (ii) and the corresponding permutation matrix , then $(P_{\pi_1} = I)$

$$\pi_1(A) = P_{\pi_1^{-1}} A P_{\pi_1}$$

is an identity automorphism of $M_n(F,\rho')$ while $\Psi = \Phi$ is an automorphism of $M_n(F,\rho')$ which is inner.

Example 2. Let $N = \{1,2,3\}$ and

$\rho = \{(1,1),(1,2),(2,2),(3,2),(3,3)\}$
$\overline{\rho} = \{(1,1),(2,2),(3,3)\}$
and the structural matrix algebra is

$$M_3(F,\rho)=\left\{\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33}\end{bmatrix} : a_{ij}\in F\right\}.$$

We can construct equivalence classes and define permutation π as follows,
$[r_1]=\{r_{11}\},\ [r_2]=\{r_{21}\},[r_3]=\{r_{31}\}$, where $r_1=1,\ r_{21}=2,r_{31}=3$
$[1]=\{r_1=1\},[2]=\{r_{21}=2\},[3]=\{r_{31}=3\}$.
$\pi(1)=1,\pi(2)=3,\pi(3)=2$, i.e., $\pi=(23)=\pi^{-1}$
Using $(i,j)\in\rho' \iff \left(\pi^{-1}(i),\pi^{-1}(j)\right)\in\rho$, we have,
$\rho'=\{(1,1),(1,3),(2,2),(2,3),(3,3)\}$ and the structural matrix algebra related to ρ' is

$$M_3(F,\rho')=\left\{\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33}\end{bmatrix} : F \text{ is a field}\right\}.$$

Then, the structural matrix algebra $M_3(F,\rho)$ is permutation similarity to the structural matrix algebra $M_3(F,\rho')$.

We now consider the permutation $\pi_1=(12)$ so

$$P_{\pi_1}=\begin{bmatrix}0&1&0\\1&0&0\\0&0&1\end{bmatrix}, P_{\pi_1^{-1}=}\begin{bmatrix}0&1&0\\1&0&0\\0&0&1\end{bmatrix}, \text{ take } B=\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33}\end{bmatrix}\in M_3(F,\rho')$$

$$P_{\pi_1^{-1}}BP_{\pi_1}=\begin{bmatrix}0&1&0\\1&0&0\\0&0&1\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}0&1&0\\1&0&0\\0&0&1\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix} a_{22} & 0 & a_{23} \\ 0 & a_{11} & a_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33}\end{bmatrix}\in M_3(F,\rho').$$

Therefore π_1 is an automorphism of ρ'. Since every transitive function is trivial, by the lemma 4.10 of [6, Theorem B], we have G is inner automorphism, i.e., $G=\Psi_{(A)}$ for some $A\in M_3(F,\rho')$.

Using Lemma 4.8 in [6, Theorem B] we have
$g(i,i)=1,\quad i=1,2,3$ and $g(i,j)=s(i)s^{-1}(j)$ where $s:\{1,2,3\}\longrightarrow F^*$ and $\pi_1=(12)$.

Let $G=g(\pi_1(i),\pi_1(j))E^{\pi_1(i)\pi_1(j)}$ then
$G\left(E^{11}\right)=g(\pi_1(1),\pi_1(1))E^{\pi_1(1)\pi_1(1)}=E^{22}$
$G\left(E^{22}\right)=g(\pi_1(2),\pi_1(2))E^{\pi_1(2)\pi_1(2)}=E^{11}$
$G\left(E^{33}\right)=g(\pi_1(3),\pi_1(3))E^{\pi_1(3)\pi_1(3)}=E^{33}$
$G\left(E^{13}\right)=g(\pi_1(1),\pi_1(3))E^{\pi_1(1)\pi_1(3)}=g(2,3)E^{23}=s(2)s^{-1}(3)E^{23}=bE^{23}$, where $b\in F^*$
$G\left(E^{23}\right)=g(\pi_1(2),\pi_1(3))E^{\pi_1(2)\pi_1(3)}=g(1,3)E^{13}=s(1)s^{-1}(3)E^{13}=aE^{13}$, where $a\in F^*$
$A=\begin{bmatrix}1&0&a\\0&1&b\\0&0&1\end{bmatrix}, A^{-1}=\begin{bmatrix}1&0&-a\\0&1&-b\\0&0&1\end{bmatrix}$ then $G=\Psi_A$ and

$$\begin{aligned}(\Psi_A \circ P_{\pi_1})(B) &= \Psi_A\left(P_{\pi_1^{-1}} B P_{\pi_1}\right) = A^{-1}\left(P_{\pi_1^{-1}} B P_{\pi_1}\right) A \\ &= \begin{bmatrix}1 & 0 & -a\\ 0 & 1 & -b\\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}a_{22} & 0 & a_{23}\\ 0 & a_{11} & a_{13}\\ 0 & 0 & a_{33}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0 & a\\ 0 & 1 & b\\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix}a_{22} & 0 & a_{23}+aa_{22}-aa_{33}\\ 0 & a_{11} & a_{13}+ba_{11}-ba_{33}\\ 0 & 0 & a_{33}\end{bmatrix} \in M_3(F,\rho').\end{aligned}$$

If we take $\Phi : M_3(F,\rho') \longrightarrow M_3(F,\rho')$ by

$$\Phi\left(\begin{bmatrix}a_{11} & 0 & a_{13}\\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23}\\ 0 & 0 & a_{33}\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}a_{22} & 0 & a_{23}+aa_{22}-aa_{33}\\ 0 & a_{11} & a_{13}+ba_{11}-ba_{33}\\ 0 & 0 & a_{33}\end{bmatrix} \in M_3(F,\rho'),$$

then

$$\Phi = \Psi_A \circ P_{\pi_1}.$$

Example 3. Let $N = \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ and

$\rho = \{(1,1),(1,2),(1,3),(1,4),(2,2),(2,3),(3,2),(3,3),(4,4),(5,2),(5,3),(5,4),(5,5),$ $(5,6),(6,2),(6,3),(6,4),(6,5),(6,6)\}$

$\overline{\rho} = \{(1,1),(2,2),(2,3),(3,2),(3,3),(4,4),(5,5),(5,6),(6,6)\}$

then the structural matrix algebra is

$$M_6(F,\rho) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix}F & F & F & F & 0 & 0\\ 0 & F & F & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & F & F & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & F & 0 & 0\\ 0 & F & F & F & F & F\\ 0 & F & F & F & F & F\end{bmatrix} : F \text{ is a field} \right\}.$$

We can construct equivalence classes and define permutation π as follows,

$$[r_1] = \{r_1 = r_{11}\},\ [r_2] = \{r_{21}, r_{22}\}, [r_3] = \{r_{31}\}, [r_4] = \{r_{41}, r_{42}\},$$

where

$$r_1 = 1, r_{21} = 2, r_{22} = 3, r_{31} = 4, r_{41} = 5, r_{42} = 6$$

$[1] = \{r_1 = 1\}, [2] = \{r_{21} = 2, r_{22} = 3\}, [4] = \{r_{31} = 4\}, [5] = \{r_{41} = 5, r_{42} = 6\}$

$\pi(1) = 1, \pi(5) = 2, \pi(6) = 3, \pi(4) = 4, \pi(2) = 5, \pi(3) = 6$, i.e. $\pi = (25)(36) = \pi^{-1}$

Using $(i,j) \in \rho' \iff \left(\pi^{-1}(i), \pi^{-1}(j)\right) \in \rho$, we have,

$\rho' = \{(1,1),(1,4),(1,5),(1,6),(2,2).(2,3),(2,4),(2,5),(2,6),(3,2),(3,3),(3,4),(3,5),(3,6),(4,4),(5,5),(6,6)(6,5),(6,6)\}$,

and the structural matrix algebra related to ρ' is

$$M_6(F,\rho') = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} F & 0 & 0 & F & F & F \\ 0 & F & F & F & F & F \\ 0 & F & F & F & F & F \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & F & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & F & F \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & F & F \end{bmatrix} : F \text{ is a field} \right\}.$$

Then, the structural matrix algebra $M_6(F,\rho)$ is permutation similarity to the structural matrix algebra $M_6(F,\rho')$ .Then

$$\overline{M}_1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} F & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : F \text{ is a field} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : A_1 \in F \right\},$$

$$\overline{M}_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F & F & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F & F & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : F \text{ is a field} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : A_2 \in M_2 \right\},$$

$$\overline{M}_3 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & F & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : F \text{ is a field} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : A_3 \in F \right\},$$

$$\overline{M}_4 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & F & F \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & F & F \end{bmatrix} : F \text{ is a field} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_4 \end{bmatrix}; A_4 \in M_2 \right\}.$$

We have a permutation when and only when two blocks are of the same size, then we may permute the blocks A_i and A_j. Otherwise not. Since, A_1 and A_3,

A_2 and A_4 are of the same size we are going to check whether these clases may permute.

Now lets consider the blocks A_1 and A_3, i.e.,the equivalent classes [1] and [4], and consider the automorphism π_1 such that $\pi_1(1) = 4$ and $\pi_1(4) = 1$ then $(\pi_1(1), \pi_1(4)) = (4,1) \notin \rho'$. Therefore these blocks can not permute so the equivalent classes are not comparable, i.e., $\pi_1(1) = 1$ and $\pi_1(4) = 4$.

Now lets consider the blocks A_2 and A_4, i.e.,the equivalent classes $[2,3]$ and $[5,6]$, and consider the automorphism π_1 such that $\pi_1(3) = 6$ and $\pi_1(4) = 4$ then $(\pi_1(3), \pi_1(4)) = (6,4) \notin \rho'$. Therefore these blocks can not permute so the equivalent classes are not comparable, i.e., $\pi_1([2,3]) = [2,3]$ and $\pi_1([5,6]) = [5,6]$. So $P_{\pi_1} = I$.

Consider the permutation $\pi_1 = (1,4) \in \rho'$ and non trivial transitive function $g(i;j) = \begin{cases} a \text{ if } (i,j) = (1,4) \\ 1 \text{ if } (i,j) \neq (1,4) \end{cases}$ where $a \in F$ and $a \neq 1$.

Then we have an automorphism $G : M_6(F, \rho') \to M_6(F, \rho')$ generated by transitive function $g(i;j)$ as follows

$G(i;j) = \begin{cases} aE^{14} \text{ if } (i,j) = (1,4) \\ E^{ij} \text{ if } (i,j) \neq (1,4) \end{cases}$.

We have an equality of G and Γ which is obtained in the proof of the Factorization Theorem (see [2]) that is

$$\Gamma\left(E^{ij}\right) = G\left(E^{\pi_1(i)\pi_1(j)}\right) = \left(G \circ P_{\pi_1}\right)\left(E^{ij}\right),$$

and since $P_{\pi_1} = 1$ then we have $\Gamma = G$.

So

$$\Phi = \Psi_{\overline{A}} \circ G.$$

References

[1] M. Akkurt, E. Akkurt and G. P. Barker, *Complemented Invariant subspaces of structural matrix algebra*, Turk. J. Math., **37**, (2013), 993-1000

[2] M. Akkurt, E. Akkurt and G. P. Barker, *Automorphism of structural matrix algebras*, Operators and Matrices, **7**, (2013), 431-439

[3] M. Akkurt, G. P. Barker and M. Wild, *Structural matrix algebra and their lattices of invariant subspaces*, Linear Algebra and its Appl. **394** (2005), 25-38.

[4] G. P. Barker, *Automorphism group of algebras of triangular matrices*, Linear Algebra and its Appl. **121**, (1989), 207-215.

[5] G. P. Barker and T.P. Kezlan, *Automorphism of algebras of upper triangular matrices*, Arch. Math. **55**, (1990), 38-43.

[6] S. P. Coelho, *The automorphism group of structural matrix algebra,* Linear Algebra and its Appl. **195**, (1993), 35-58.